

Wi-Fi 6 – What’s It All About?

By Cees Links, GM of Qorvo Wireless Connectivity Business Unit
Formerly Founder & CEO of GreenPeak Technologies

Is Wi-Fi Running Out of Steam?

Despite that nobody could keep track of the array of acronyms underlying Wi-Fi (IEEE 802.11b, .11a/g, .11n, .11ac), the good news was that each new version was a clear step forward in raw data rate. In four generations, that rate went from 11 Mb/s to 6.9 Gb/s – an increase of more than 650 times!

After all, raw data rate is the “name of the game.” This comes as no surprise, since Wi-Fi is about pure high-speed data communication.

Now there is the imminent arrival of the new standard, IEEE 802.11ax, with a maximum raw data rate of 9.6 Gb/s. This standard has been given a “jazzier” name: Wi-Fi 6. But given its slow appearance (ratification is now planned for late 2019) and marginal raw data rate improvement, one might wonder if this is an indication that Wi-Fi is running out of steam.

Don’t be fooled! Underneath the acronym, there is a real shift going on from raw data rate toward multichannel capacity and improved spectral reuse. This means that the real-life throughput experience of Wi-Fi 6 may be an increase of as much as four times compared to its predecessor Wi-Fi 5 (.11ac). On top of this, Wi-Fi 6 does away with the different network IDs for 2.4 GHz, 5 GHz and additionally installed extenders, a real improvement in usability.

Let’s explore, because this all has significant consequences for consumers, as well as for product builders.

“For the consumer, there are always two important points with Wi-Fi. The first is performance. The second is range.”

Interference

For the consumer, there are always two important points with Wi-Fi. The first is performance (data rate). The second is range (e.g., “how can I get the highest speed in every corner in my house, backyard, basement, etc.?”).

In urban areas these days, consumers have grown accustomed to what is now a common scenario – turning on a laptop, for example, and having to weed through the many routers or access points that are visible when trying to find a Wi-Fi network. Many of the routers use the limited number of overlapping channels, which means users are sharing those channels. Or to put it another way, there is interference on those channels.



When two devices are talking through each other, over the same channel at the same moment, it means that the messages are getting garbled and both need to be sent again. It’s no surprise, then, that the throughput in dense environments can collapse in continuous retransmissions. Again, this is a form of interference.

This interference is made worse by the fact that routers and access points have attempted to improve range via the highest output power possible. Anyone who has ever been to a crowded party can understand this scenario. The more everyone speaks louder to be heard, the more the overall noise goes up and any real opportunity to communicate goes down. More output power just causes more interference. Even worse, higher output power in some channels of the band causes signal to “bleed” into the neighboring channels – another form of interference – causing the capacity of the band and the total Wi-Fi system to degrade. So, what to do?

Distributed Wi-Fi

This is where Wi-Fi 6 (.11ax) comes into play. The goal of this new standard is less about higher data rates, and more about the use of as many channels in the 2.4 GHz band or the 5 GHz band as possible – at the same moment in the same space (for instance, in the same house).


Let’s look at an example of why this is needed. Imagine a family living in a house with multiple rooms, running different applications at the same time. In the past, this meant that everyone was using the same channel to communicate with the central router in the closet, but with all the interference limitations discussed above.

The scenario that Wi-Fi 6 enables is that every room in the house has a small access point (the size of a cigarette pack) running on a different Wi-Fi channel, and those access points are wirelessly connected over Wi-Fi to the central router in the closet. Now the applications are on different channels and not interfering with each other. This is a true Wi-Fi “system,” and the name of the game now is total capacity – using multiple channels at the same time without interfering with each other, thereby optimizing total indoor throughput.

So, the goal of Wi-Fi 6 is full coverage of a home (or a building), and maximum performance in every room, which results in maximum overall capacity for everyone (at the system level).

What happens if two devices are “talking through each other”?


Smartphones, computers, tablets and routers communicating with each other on the same channel sometimes “talk through each other.” Not surprisingly, this jumbles the communication.



A sending device usually knows that a packet has not arrived, because it does not receive an acknowledgement back from the receiving device within a certain time frame. (We’re talking milliseconds here.)

The “mechanism” with which devices communicate is called a protocol, which describes how proper communication needs to be handled, including what to do when “talking through each other.” A simple part of a protocol is “listen before talking,” which means that before a device starts to send a data packet, it listens to ensure that nobody else is “on the air.” But, if two devices both listen, and both conclude that the “air is clear” and start communicating at the same time, it creates a “collision.” Some devices can “hear” the interference and will “back off,” or stop and wait (again, milliseconds) before sending again.

So, typically what happens in dense environments is that more packets collide, and more packets need to be retransmitted with now higher chances of another collision. The consequence is that the performance of the network as a whole can break down. This is what happens, for example, with too many people on a single hotspot.



What Are the Consequences for Product Suppliers?

Interestingly, output power to achieve range is no longer the most important criteria. Other things are becoming more important. In the first place, there is “flat power.” This means uniform output power across the band, taking care that all the channels in the band are at maximum strength. In many products, the channels in the middle of the bands are strong, but channels at the side of the band have to use lower power, essentially creating capacity limitations.

This also relates to an item called “band edge performance.” To maximize the overall system capacity, one would like to achieve maximum output power over all the channels in the 2.4 and 5 GHz bands, including the channels at the edges of the bands. But what is more typical is that the channels at the edges of the band have lower output power to meet radio emission requirements (i.e., to make no noise outside of the band). Many product suppliers squeeze the output power the channels on the edge of the band to meet the emission requirements, and therefore severely limit the overall system capacity.

And Additional Consequence for Consumers

Consumers do not like big boxes with large antennas. And especially with a distributed Wi-Fi router in every room, consumers want small boxes, preferably with no antennas sticking out at all.

Unfortunately, there is a reason that routers today are so big. It's the only way the box can dissipate and get rid of the heat from all the components inside. All the radio communication components inside the box generate heat. Ever watched a movie on your cell phone and felt how hot it gets?

The component makers for these boxes are working hard to make their components more efficient, which means they can radiate a lot of Wi-Fi with as little heat as possible. Again, remember that the old idea was maximum raw data rate and the highest (allowed) output power. But the new goal is using all the available channels with the highest efficiency. This is what makes Wi-Fi 6 a new standard and a big step forward.

“Consumers do not like big boxes with large antennas.”

2.4 GHz or 5 GHz?

There is one final question of note in this scenario. Assuming an access point in every room, and all the access points talking with the router in the closet over Wi-Fi, what frequency bands are preferred?

The reason to ask this question is because 2.4 GHz gives better range than 5 GHz. So, a logical choice would be to use 2.4 GHz as the “backbone” and 5 GHz as the connection between the access point and the end device. There is a little issue, though. The backbone is supposed to aggregate the traffic, which means that it is supposed to have the higher data rate (performance). In reality, the data rate at 5 GHz is higher than at 2.4 GHz, in particular because more channels can be “bundled together” in the 5 GHz band. However, the range at 5 GHz is less, and therefore it is less suitable for a backbone function.

So, not surprisingly, you can find products today that have different Wi-Fi system design philosophies. Some have 2.4 GHz as a backbone, while others are using 5 GHz for that. The industry clearly is not unanimous about this yet. And since indoor radio behavior can be fickle, there may not be an ultimate final solution – other than these distributed Wi-Fi systems configuring themselves based on optimizing the indoor environment, if the systems get smart enough. This configuration can even be made dynamic, based on the data consumption requirements in various parts of the distributed Wi-Fi system. This means it would reconfigure itself automatically as it “understands” the complete environment, including negotiating with the neighbors so everyone gets a fair share of the spectrum!

For the Wi-Fi 6 user, management of the different channels or signing up to 2.4 GHz or 5 GHz networks all disappears. Wi-Fi 6 will show itself to the user as one network, with one password. Extended networks or different passwords will be a thing of the past, which makes getting or staying connected becomes very easy.

The conclusion is clear – Wi-Fi 6 is not the end of Wi-Fi. It is the start of building even higher-performance systems supporting more users simultaneously and without interference!

About the Author



Cees Links was the founder and CEO of GreenPeak Technologies, which is now part of Qorvo. Under his responsibility, the first wireless LANs were developed, ultimately becoming household technology integrated into PCs and notebooks. He also pioneered the development of access points, home networking routers, and hotspot base stations. He was involved in the establishment of the IEEE 802.11 standardization committee and the Wi-Fi Alliance. He was also instrumental in establishing the IEEE 802.15 standardization committee to become the basis for the Zigbee® sense and control networking. Since GreenPeak was acquired by Qorvo, Cees has become the General Manager of the Wireless Connectivity Business Unit in Qorvo. He was recently recognized as **Wi-Fi pioneer with the Golden Mousetrap Lifetime Achievement award**.

For more information, please visit www.qorvo.com.

About Qorvo

Qorvo (NASDAQ:QRVO) makes a better world possible by providing innovative RF solutions at the center of connectivity. We combine product and technology leadership, systems-level expertise and global manufacturing scale to quickly solve our customers' most complex technical challenges. Qorvo serves diverse high-growth segments of large global markets, including advanced wireless devices, wired and wireless networks and defense radar and communications. We also leverage our unique competitive strengths to advance 5G networks, cloud computing, the Internet of Things, and other emerging applications that expand the global framework interconnecting people, places and things. Visit www.qorvo.com to learn how we connect the world.